

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Patent and Trademark Office**Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/316,515 05/21/99 KRIG

D 279.112US1

QM12/0302

SCHWEGMAN LUNDBERG WOESSNER AND KLUTH PA
P O BOX 2938
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402

EXAMINER

EVANISKO, G

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3762

DATE MAILED:

03/02/01

8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	29/316515	
Examiner	Group Art Unit	3

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication .
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Status

Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/2/1

This action is FINAL.

Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

Claim(s) 1- 91 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim(s) 28-57, 90 is/are allowed.

Claim(s) 1-3, 23-27, 58, 59 63, 64, 66-70, 38 39 91 is/are rejected.

Claim(s) 4-22, 60-62 65 71-87 is/are objected to.

Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is approved disapproved.

The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)

Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.

received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____.

received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____.

Attachment(s)

Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 4, 5

Interview Summary, PTO-413

Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892

Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Other _____

Office Action Summary

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

2. Claims 1-3, 26, 27, 58, 59, 63, 88, 89, and 91 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hill (5814085). It is noted that the claims use the broad limitation of "from a most recent...".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to

Art Unit: 3762

the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 23-25, 64, 67-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hill.

Hill discloses the claimed invention except for the sensor, register, and basing the pacing therapy on a second pacing interval based on the sensor (claims 23 and 64), basing the pacing therapy on the shorter of the two intervals (claim 24), providing a bounded range for the intervals (claim 25), and the filter including an IIR, FIR, and weighted averager (claims 67, 68, and 70). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the implantable pacing device as taught by Hill with the sensor, register, and basing the pacing therapy on a second pacing interval based on the sensor, basing the pacing therapy on the shorter of the two intervals, and providing a bounded range for the intervals since it was known in the art that pacemakers include sensors, registers, and base the pacing therapy on a second pacing interval based on the sensors, base the pacing therapy on the shorter of the two intervals, and provide a bounded range for the intervals to provide the pacer with other indicators of physiological demand so the pacer can control the pacing rate with a combination of the other indicators and limit the pacing rate to selected rates.

Art Unit: 3762

In addition, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to one skilled in the art, to use an IIR, FIR, or weighted averager for the filter, since applicant has not disclosed that the IIR, FIR, or weighted averager provides any criticality and/or unexpected results and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with any filter, such as the processor providing desired signal processing, such as averaging, as taught by Hill to determine if the pacing rate should be changed.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 28-57 and 90 is allowed.
6. Claims 4-22, 60-62, 65, and 71-87 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 2/2/01 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
The argument that Hill does not form the indicated pacing interval "from a most recent V-V interval duration and a previous value of the first indicated pacing interval" is not persuasive. The claims do not state "only from a most recent...", and therefore, the limitation is met when a previous value of the indicated pacing interval and the most recent V-V interval are used in any combination and with any other elements to determine the indicated pacing interval (which is accomplished in Hill, as seen throughout his specification and in particular in column 7). In addition, Hill shows in figure 5, the controller (the microprocessor, ram, rom, and digital

Art Unit: 3762

circuitry) performing the claimed limitations of V-V interval time, register, and filter. It is noted that the filter, as described in the application and taken in its broadest reasonable interpretation, is just a system that provides the desired signal processing of the signals. Finally, for claims 67-70, the examiner is not taking official notice and is not required to provide a document in support of the 103 rejections. The examiner is stating that the specification has not provided and reasoning or criticality for the claimed elements, and lacking such, the examiner does not find patentable subject matter in those limitations.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Serial Number: 09/316515

Page 6

Art Unit: 3762

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Evanisko whose telephone number is (703) 308-2612.

GRE

February 28, 2001

l c
**GEORGE R. EVANISKO
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

2/28/1